

**Federal National Mortgage Association
Fannie Mae**

Enterprise Regulatory Capital Framework Disclosures

For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2025

Federal National Mortgage Association - Fannie Mae

Capital Disclosures Report

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2025

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|--------------------|
| Disclosure Map..... | 3 |
| Introduction | 5 |
| 1. Capital Structure | 6 |
| 2. Capital Adequacy | 8 |
| 3. Capital Buffers | 11 |
| 4. Credit Risk: General Disclosures | 12 |
| 5. Counterparty Credit Risk | 16 |
| 6. Credit Risk Mitigation | 18 |
| 7. Credit Risk Transfers and Securitization | 20 |
| 8. Equities | 25 |
| 9. Interest Rate Risk for Non-Trading Activities | 27 |
| 10. Operational Risk | 28 |
| 11. Tier 1 Leverage Ratio | 29 |
| 12. Market Risk | 31 |
| Glossary | 34 |

Published August 12, 2025

Disclosure Map

| Disclosure Requirement | Description | Capital Disclosures page reference | 2024 Form 10-K page reference | Q2 2025 Form 10-Q page reference |
|----------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Introduction | Conservatorship and Treasury Agreements | 5 | 7 | N/A |
| | GSE and Conservatorship Risk | 5 | 25 | N/A |
| | Legislation and Regulation | 5 | N/A | 3 |
| | Risk Management—Overview | 5 | 127 | N/A |
| | Forward-Looking Statements | 5 | 20 | 56 |
| | Risk Factors | 5 | 23 | N/A |
| Capital Structure | No references included | 6 | N/A | N/A |
| Capital Adequacy | Conservatorship | 8 | 7 | N/A |
| | GSE and Conservatorship Risk | 8 | 25 | N/A |
| | Legislation and Regulation | 8 | N/A | 3 |
| | Capital Requirements | 8 | 13 | 46 |
| | Regulatory Capital Requirements | 8 | N/A | 104 |
| Capital Buffers | Regulatory Capital Requirements | 11 | F-56 | N/A |
| Credit Risk: General Disclosures | Single-Family Mortgage Credit Risk Management | 12, 13 | 80 | 23 |
| | Multifamily Mortgage Credit Risk Management | 12, 13 | 107 | 35 |
| | Concentrations of Credit Risk | 12, 13 | F-58 | 93 |
| | Mortgage Credit Risk Management | 12 | 129 | N/A |
| | Allowance for Loan Losses | 13, 14 | F-37 | 81 |
| | Summary of Significant Accounting Policies | 13 | F-8 | N/A |
| | Mortgage Loans | 13 | F-24 | 67 |
| | Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements | 13 | N/A | 44 |
| | Guaranty Book of Business | 13 | N/A | 15 |
| | Financial Guarantees | 13 | N/A | 83 |
| | Liquidity and Capital Management | 13 | N/A | 41 |
| | Investments in Securities | 13 | N/A | 82 |
| | Derivative Instruments | 13 | N/A | 85 |
| | Netting Arrangements | 13 | N/A | 95 |
| | Institutional Counterparty Credit Risk Management | 13 | N/A | 49 |
| Counterparty Credit Risk | Institutional Counterparty Credit Risk Management | 16 | 129 | N/A |
| | Derivative Instruments | 17 | N/A | 85 |
| | Netting Arrangements | 17 | N/A | 95 |
| Credit Risk Mitigation | Collateral | 18 | F-15 | N/A |
| | Institutional Counterparty Credit Risk Management | 18 | 129 | N/A |
| | Single-Family Mortgage Credit Risk Management | 18 | 80 | N/A |
| | Multifamily Mortgage Credit Risk Management | 18 | 107 | N/A |
| | Concentrations of Credit Risk | 18 | F-58 | N/A |
| | Mortgage Insurers | 18 | 130 | N/A |

| Disclosure Requirement | Description | Capital Disclosures page reference | 2024 Form 10-K page reference | Q2 2025 Form 10-Q page reference |
|---|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Credit Risk Transfers and Securitization | Single-Family Credit Enhancement and Transfer of Mortgage Credit Risk | 20, 21 | 87 | 26 |
| | Multifamily Transfer of Mortgage Credit Risk | 20, 21 | 111 | 37 |
| | Model Risk Management | 20 | 144 | N/A |
| | Operational and Model Risk | 20 | 37 | N/A |
| | Single-Family Mortgage Credit Risk Management | 20 | 80 | N/A |
| | Multifamily Mortgage Credit Risk Management | 20 | 107 | N/A |
| | Investments in Securities | 21 | F-10 | N/A |
| | Special Purpose Vehicles Associated with Our Credit Risk Transfer Programs | 21 | F-23 | N/A |
| | Financial Guarantees | 21 | F-40 | N/A |
| Equities | Investments in Securities | 25 | N/A | 82 |
| | Fair Value Measurement | 25 | N/A | 96 |
| Interest Rate Risk for Non-Trading Activities | Market Risk Management, including Interest-Rate Risk Management | 27 | 139 | N/A |
| | Key Market Economic Indicators | 27 | 53 | N/A |
| | Measurement of Interest-Rate Risk | 27 | N/A | 50 |
| Operational Risk | Operational and Model Risk | 28 | 37 | N/A |
| | Operational Risk Management | 28 | 144 | N/A |
| | Cybersecurity | 28 | 46 | N/A |
| Tier 1 Leverage Ratio | No references included | 29 | N/A | N/A |
| Market Risk | Unconsolidated Variable Interest Entities ("VIEs") | 32 | N/A | 66 |
| | Financial Guarantees | 32 | N/A | 83 |
| | Fair Value Measurement | 32 | F-66 | N/A |
| | Model Risk Management | 33 | 144 | N/A |
| | Operational and Model Risk | 33 | 37 | N/A |
| Glossary | Conservatorship and Treasury Agreements | 34 | 7 | N/A |
| | Legislation and Regulation | 34 | 12 | N/A |

Introduction

Fannie Mae is a leading source of financing for residential mortgages in the United States. We are a government-sponsored, stockholder-owned corporation, chartered by Congress to provide liquidity and stability to the U.S. housing market and to promote access to mortgage credit. We primarily do this by buying residential mortgage loans that are originated by lenders. We place these loans into trusts and issue guaranteed mortgage-backed securities (“MBS” or “Fannie Mae MBS”) that global investors buy from us. We do not originate mortgage loans or lend money directly to borrowers. We provide a guaranty on the MBS that we issue. If a borrower fails to make a payment on a mortgage loan that is included in a Fannie Mae MBS, we pay the shortfall amount to the MBS investor. In exchange for providing this guaranty, we receive a guaranty fee. Guaranty fees are the primary source of our revenues.

We have been in conservatorship since 2008. The Federal Housing Finance Agency (“FHFA”) is our conservator. During conservatorship, our Board has no fiduciary duties to the company or its stockholders, as they owe their fiduciary duties of care and loyalty solely to FHFA as conservator. Conservatorship and our agreements with the U.S. Department of the Treasury (“Treasury”) significantly restrict our business activities and stockholder rights. For more information about the impact of conservatorship and these agreements on our business, stockholders, and our uncertain future, see “Business—Conservatorship and Treasury Agreements” and “Risk Factors—GSE and Conservatorship Risk” in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2024 (the “2024 Form 10-K”) and “Legislation and Regulation” in our Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2025 (the “Q2 2025 Form 10-Q”).

We manage the risks that arise from our business activities through our enterprise risk management program. Our risk management activities are aligned with the requirements of FHFA’s Enterprise Risk Management Program Advisory Bulletin, which are consistent with the general principles set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission’s (“COSO”) Enterprise Risk Management—Integrating with Strategy and Performance framework. For more information on our risk management program and activities, see “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (“MD&A”)—Risk Management—Overview” in our 2024 Form 10-K.

As a government-sponsored enterprise (“GSE”), we are subject to the regulatory capital rules issued by FHFA pursuant to the Federal Housing Enterprises Financial Safety and Soundness Act of 1992, as amended (the “GSE Act”). Additionally, we are required to provide timely public disclosures each calendar quarter of the information specified in Subpart D and F of the enterprise regulatory capital framework (“ERCF”). This Capital Disclosures report fulfills this requirement for Q2 2025 and should be read in conjunction with our 2024 Form 10-K and Q2 2025 Form 10-Q.

FHFA’s ERCF establishes both leverage and risk-based minimum capital requirements, which are described in more detail in “Section 2, Capital Adequacy.”

Our capital disclosures in this report are not required to be, and have not been, audited by our independent registered public accounting firm. Some measures of exposures contained in this report may not be consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. (“U.S. GAAP”) and may not be comparable with measures reported in our Q2 2025 Form 10-Q.

This report includes forward-looking statements, including statements regarding future requirements under the ERCF and our expectations regarding our credit risk transactions and their effect. Actual outcomes could be materially different from what is set forth in these forward-looking statements due to a variety of factors, including those described in “MD&A—Forward-Looking Statements” in our Q2 2025 Form 10-Q and in “Business—Forward-Looking Statements” and “Risk Factors” in our 2024 Form 10-K.

1. Capital Structure

The ERCF establishes leverage and risk-based minimum capital requirements related to the amount and form of capital we must hold. The ERCF requirements include two statutory capital elements, which are defined in the GSE Act, and three regulatory capital elements, which are based largely on definitions of capital used in U.S. banking regulators' regulatory capital framework:

- a. Statutory capital elements:
 - i. core capital, which is comprised of outstanding common stock, outstanding perpetual, noncumulative preferred stock⁽¹⁾, paid-in capital, and retained earnings (accumulated deficit); and
 - ii. total capital, which is comprised of core capital, a general allowance for foreclosure losses, and other amounts from sources of funds available to absorb losses (that the Director of FHFA by regulation determines are appropriate to include in determining total capital).
- b. Regulatory capital elements:
 - i. common equity tier 1 ("CET1") capital;
 - ii. tier 1 capital; and
 - iii. adjusted total capital.

Fannie Mae has a variety of issued and outstanding capital instruments, including:

- Senior preferred stock - There were one million shares of the senior preferred stock authorized, issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2025. Shares of the senior preferred stock have no par value and have a stated value and initial liquidation preference equal to \$1,000 per share, for an aggregate initial liquidation preference of \$1 billion. The total book value of senior preferred stock of \$120.8 billion represents the amount that has been paid to us under Treasury's funding commitment of \$119.8 billion plus the initial liquidation preference. The senior preferred stock is non-participating and non-voting.
- Preferred stock - The preferred stock ranks junior to the senior preferred stock as to both dividends and distributions upon dissolution, liquidation or winding down of the company. Each series of our preferred stock has no par value, is non-participating, is non-voting and has a liquidation preference equal to the stated value per share. Holders of preferred stock are entitled to receive non-cumulative, quarterly dividends when, and if, declared by our Board of Directors, but have no right to require redemption of any shares of preferred stock. Payment of dividends on preferred stock is not mandatory but has priority over payment of dividends on common stock, which are also declared by the Board of Directors. If dividends on the preferred stock are not paid or set aside for payment for a given dividend period, dividends may not be paid on our common stock for that period. Shares of preferred stock authorized, issued and outstanding totaled 556 million as of June 30, 2025.
- Common stock - The common stock ranks junior to the senior preferred stock and the preferred stock as to both dividends and distributions upon dissolution, liquidation or winding down of the company. Shares of common stock outstanding, net of shares held as treasury stock, totaled 1.2 billion as of June 30, 2025.

(1) These capital classification measures exclude the funds provided to us by Treasury pursuant to the senior preferred stock purchase agreement, as the senior preferred stock does not qualify as core capital due to its cumulative dividend provisions.

The following exhibit provides a reconciliation from stockholder's equity on the U.S. GAAP condensed consolidated balance sheets to regulatory and statutory capital components as of June 30, 2025.

Exhibit 1.1: Capital Instruments & Reconciliations

| | | As of June 30, 2025 |
|--------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | | (Dollars in millions) |
| GAAP | Common stock | \$ 687 |
| | Treasury stock | (7,400) |
| | Retained earnings ⁽²⁾ | (31,647) |
| | Accumulated other comprehensive income ("AOCI") | 30 |
| | Junior preferred stock ⁽³⁾ | 19,130 |
| | Senior preferred stock | 120,836 |
| | Stockholders' equity under U.S. GAAP | 101,636 |
| Regulatory Capital | Less: Senior & junior preferred stock | 139,966 |
| | Common stockholders' equity | (38,330) |
| | Less: | |
| | Goodwill ⁽⁴⁾ | — |
| | Other intangible assets ⁽⁴⁾ | — |
| | Deferred tax assets ("DTAs") ⁽⁵⁾ | 10,127 |
| | AOCI-related adjustments | — |
| | Other deductions | — |
| | Common equity tier 1 ("CET1") capital (deficit) | (48,457) |
| | Qualifying junior preferred stock | 19,130 |
| | Other adjustments and deductions | — |
| | Tier 1 capital (deficit) | (29,327) |
| | Qualifying subordinated debt and other instruments | — |
| | Qualifying allowance for credit losses | — |
| Statutory Capital | Other adjustments and deductions | — |
| | Tier 2 capital | — |
| | Adjusted total capital (deficit) | (29,327) |
| | Par value or stated value of outstanding common stock | 687 |
| | Par value or stated value of outstanding perpetual, non-cumulative preferred stock | 19,130 |
| | Paid-in capital | — |
| | Retained earnings ⁽²⁾ | (31,647) |
| | Treasury stock | (7,400) |
| | Total core capital (deficit) | (19,230) |
| | General allowance for foreclosure losses ⁽⁶⁾ | 8,457 |
| | Other ⁽⁷⁾ | — |
| | Total capital (deficit) | \$ (10,773) |

(2) Referred to as "Accumulated deficit" on our condensed consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2025.

(3) Referred to as "Preferred Stock" on our condensed consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2025.

(4) Net of associated deferred tax liabilities ("DTLs").

(5) DTAs arising from temporary differences that could not be realized through net operating loss carrybacks, net of related valuation allowances and net of DTLs, that exceed the 10% CET1 deduction threshold.

(6) Primarily includes allowance for loan losses, accrued interest receivable and preforeclosure taxes and insurance receivables.

(7) From sources of funds available to absorb losses that the Director of FHFA by regulation determines are appropriate to include in determining total capital.

2. Capital Adequacy

Capital management is integral to our risk and governance processes. Our ability to manage capital resources under baseline and stress environments supports our capacity to absorb expected and unexpected future losses and to carry out our statutory mission. Our capital adequacy assessment process informs the capital management actions that we may take to align with our regulatory requirements, forecasts, risks, strategic goals, and other business objectives. Additionally, operating under the conservatorship of FHFA affects our business and capital management. For more information on how conservatorship impacts us, refer to “Business—Conservatorship and Treasury Agreements—Conservatorship” and “Risk Factors—GSE and Conservatorship Risk” in our 2024 Form 10-K and “Legislation and Regulation” in our Q2 2025 Form 10-Q.

We actively monitor our capital levels as part of the capital adequacy assessment process. Periodic capital adequacy monitoring enables the identification and assessment of actual changes and potential impacts to capital levels and requirements. The objective of this monitoring process is to understand our current and forecasted capital levels and requirements.

In accordance with the Capital Planning and Stress Capital Buffer Determination requirements of the ERCF, we conduct annual capital planning exercises, which include:

- An assessment of the expected uses and sources of capital over the planning horizon;
- Estimates of projected revenues, expenses, losses, reserves, and capital levels, including regulatory capital ratios, under internal base and stress scenarios, along with regulatory required scenarios such as Dodd-Frank Act Stress Tests;
- A description of all planned capital actions over the planning horizon; and
- A detailed description of our process for assessing capital adequacy.

The compliance date for the minimum capital requirements will be the date of termination of our conservatorship or such later date as FHFA may order. Under the risk-based capital requirements, we must maintain minimum CET1 capital, tier 1 capital, and adjusted total capital ratios equal to at least 4.5%, 6.0%, and 8.0%, respectively, of risk-weighted assets (“RWA”). Under the leverage capital requirement, we must maintain a tier 1 capital ratio equal to at least 2.5% of adjusted total assets.

As of June 30, 2025, our capital levels reflect deficits and were significantly below the levels that will be required under the ERCF. For more information about our capital requirements, capital management and our capital metrics under the ERCF, see “MD&A—Liquidity and Capital Management—Capital Management—Capital Requirements” and “Note 15, Regulatory Capital Requirements” in our Q2 2025 Form 10-Q, and “Business—Legislation and Regulation—Capital Requirements” in our 2024 Form 10-K.

Risk-Weighted Assets

Under the ERCF, we are required to determine our RWA under both the standardized approach and the advanced approaches; however, the advanced approaches requirement is not effective until the later of January 1, 2028 or such later date as FHFA may order. Currently, we calculate our RWA using the standardized approach set forth in the ERCF. Under the standardized approach, our total RWA equals the sum of our credit risk, market risk and operational risk RWA.

Our credit risk exposure exists primarily in connection with our guaranty book of business and our institutional counterparties. Mortgage credit risk arises from the risk of loss resulting from the failure of a borrower to make required mortgage payments. Institutional counterparty credit risk is the risk of loss resulting from the failure of an institutional counterparty to fulfill its contractual obligations to us.

Market risk is the risk of loss resulting from changes in the economic environment. Market risk arises from fluctuations in interest rates, exchange rates, and other market rates and prices. Market risk includes interest-rate risk, which is the risk that movements in interest rates will adversely affect the value of our assets or liabilities or our future earnings or capital. Market risk also includes spread risk, which is the risk from changes in an instrument’s value that relate to factors other than changes in interest rates. We can

experience losses from changes in the spreads between our mortgage assets, including mortgage purchase and sale commitments, and the debt and derivatives we use to hedge our position.

Operational risk is the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people, systems and third parties, or disruptions from external events. Operational risk includes cyber/information security risk.

The following exhibit provides a summary of our RWA as of June 30, 2025.

Exhibit 2.1: RWA Summary

| | As of June 30, 2025 | |
|------------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| | Standardized Approach RWA | |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Credit risk | \$ | 1,198,730 |
| Market risk | | 30,061 |
| Operational risk | | 83,356 |
| Total | \$ | 1,312,147 |

Regulatory Capital Summary

The following exhibit presents our capital metrics as of June 30, 2025.

Exhibit 2.2: Capital Metrics under the Enterprise Regulatory Capital Framework⁽⁸⁾

| | As of June 30, 2025 | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| | (Dollars in billions) | | | | | |
| Adjusted total assets | \$ | 4,446 | | | | |
| Risk-weighted assets | | 1,312 | | | | |

| | Amounts | | | Ratios | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| | Available Capital (Deficit) | Minimum Capital Requirement | Total Capital Requirement (including Buffers) | Available Capital (Deficit) Ratio | Minimum Capital Ratio Requirement | Total Capital Requirement Ratio (including Buffers) |
| Risk-based capital: | | | | | | |
| Total capital (statutory) | \$ (11) | \$ 105 | \$ 105 | (0.8)% | 8.0 % | 8.0 % |
| Common equity tier 1 capital | (48) | 59 | 139 | (3.7) | 4.5 | 10.6 |
| Tier 1 capital | (29) | 79 | 159 | (2.2) | 6.0 | 12.1 |
| Adjusted total capital | (29) | 105 | 185 | (2.2) | 8.0 | 14.1 |
| Leverage capital: | | | | | | |
| Core capital (statutory) | (19) | 111 | 111 | (0.4) | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Tier 1 capital | (29) | 111 | 134 | (0.7) | 2.5 | 3.0 |

(8) Ratios are calculated as a percentage of risk-weighted assets for risk-based capital metrics and as a percentage of adjusted total assets for leverage capital metrics.

The following exhibit presents credit RWA by risk and exposure type as of June 30, 2025.

Exhibit 2.3: Credit RWA Summary

| | As of June 30, 2025 | |
|--|-----------------------|---------------------|
| | Exposure Amount | RWA Amount |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Exposures to the U.S. Government | \$ 120,254 | \$ 563 |
| Exposures to supranational entities and multilateral development banks | — | — |
| Exposures to GSEs | 1,064,822 | 4,845 |
| Exposures to depository institutions and credit unions, except for equity exposure | 30,459 | 6,092 |
| Exposures to U.S. public sector entities ("PSEs") | 91 | 46 |
| Corporate exposures | 19 | 19 |
| Residential mortgage exposures ⁽⁹⁾ | 2,724,268 | 856,192 |
| a. Single-family | 2,329,795 | 744,479 |
| i. Performing loans | 2,204,376 | 661,411 |
| ii. Non-modified re-performing loans | 24,830 | 13,504 |
| iii. Modified re-performing loans | 80,903 | 40,916 |
| iv. Non-performing loans | 19,686 | 28,648 |
| b. Multifamily | 394,473 | 111,713 |
| i. Fixed-rate exposures | 364,506 | 92,125 |
| ii. Adjustable-rate exposures | 29,967 | 19,588 |
| Past due exposures of more than 90 days past due and nonaccrual | — | — |
| Other assets ⁽¹⁰⁾ | 20,556 | 9,235 |
| Insurance assets | 3,071 | 3,071 |
| Default fund contributions to central counterparties ("CCP") | 7,980 | 2,099 |
| CRT and other securitization exposures ⁽¹¹⁾ | 1,378,030 | 310,053 |
| Over-the-counter ("OTC") derivative contracts | — | — |
| Cleared transactions | 595 | 115 |
| Unsettled transactions | — | — |
| Equity exposures | 4,351 | 4,369 |
| Repo-style transactions | 25,052 | 145 |
| Forward agreements | 181 | 47 |
| Commitments | 38 | 10 |
| Other off-balance sheet exposures ⁽¹²⁾ | 1,829 | 1,829 |
| Total | \$ 5,381,596 | \$ 1,198,730 |

(9) Excludes mortgage loans that are part of Credit Risk Transfer ("CRT") transactions and we have elected the Credit Risk Transfer Approach ("CRTA") capital treatment under the ERF.

(10) Primarily includes cash in process of collection and other assets subject to a 100% risk weight.

(11) Includes mortgage loans that are part of CRT transactions for which we have elected the CRTA capital treatment under the ERF. RWA is net of the benefit from these transactions.

(12) Primarily includes guarantees to unconsolidated trusts and other guaranty arrangements.

3. Capital Buffers

The ERCF includes a requirement that we hold prescribed capital buffers that can be drawn down in periods of financial stress. These capital buffers represent the amount of capital we are required to hold above the minimum leverage and risk-based capital requirements. Our compliance with these capital buffers will be required upon exit from conservatorship. In general, once we are required to be in compliance with the capital buffers, if our capital levels fall below the prescribed buffer amounts, we must restrict capital distributions, such as stock repurchases and dividends, as well as discretionary bonus payments to executives, until the buffer amounts are restored.

The prescribed leverage buffer amount (“PLBA”) represents the amount of tier 1 capital we are required to hold above the minimum tier 1 leverage capital requirement. Pursuant to the ERCF the PLBA is 50% of the stability capital buffer.

The prescribed capital conservation buffer amount (“PCCBA”) represents the amount of CET1 capital that we are required to hold above the risk-based capital requirements. The PCCBA consists of three separate components: a stability capital buffer, a stress capital buffer, and a countercyclical capital buffer.

For more information about our capital buffers, refer to “Note 13, Regulatory Capital Requirements” in our 2024 Form 10-K.

The following exhibit presents our PCCBA, PLBA, eligible retained income, and maximum payout ratio as of June 30, 2025.

Exhibit 3.1: PCCBA, PLBA, Eligible Retained Income, and Maximum Payout Ratio

| | As of June 30, 2025 | |
|--|-----------------------|---------------|
| | Amount | |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Stress capital buffer | \$ | 33,468 |
| Stability capital buffer | | 46,617 |
| Countercyclical capital buffer | | — |
| Prescribed capital conservation buffer amount (PCCBA) | \$ | 80,085 |
| Prescribed leverage buffer amount (PLBA) | \$ | 23,309 |
| Eligible retained income ⁽¹³⁾ | | 16,319 |
| Maximum payout ratio ⁽¹⁴⁾ | | 0 percent |

(13) Eligible retained income is the greater of: (1) net income for the four preceding calendar quarters, net of distributions and associated tax effects not already reflected in net income and (2) average of our net income over the preceding four quarters.

(14) While it is not applicable until the date of termination of our conservatorship, our maximum payout ratio represents the percentage of eligible retained income that we are permitted to pay out in the form of distributions or discretionary bonus payments under the ERCF. The maximum payout ratio for a given quarter is the lowest of the payout ratios determined by our capital conservation buffer and our leverage buffer.

4. Credit Risk: General Disclosures

Credit risk is the risk of loss arising from another party's failure to meet its contractual obligations. For financial securities or instruments, credit risk is the risk of not receiving principal, interest or other financial obligation on a timely basis. Our credit risk exposure exists primarily in connection with our guaranty book of business and our institutional counterparties.

For information related to counterparty credit risk, refer to "Section 5, Counterparty Credit Risk."

Our credit risk exposure includes the following types of mortgage credit risk: single-family mortgage credit risk and multifamily mortgage credit risk.

Mortgage Credit Risk Management

Our strategy for managing single-family and multifamily mortgage credit risk consists of the following primary components:

- our acquisition and servicing policies along with our underwriting and servicing standards;
- guaranty book diversification and monitoring;
- the transfer of mortgage credit risk through risk transfer transactions and the use of credit enhancements; and
- management of problem loans.

For more information related to mortgage credit risk and mortgage credit risk management, see "MD&A—Single-Family Business—Single-Family Mortgage Credit Risk Management," "MD&A—Multifamily Business—Multifamily Mortgage Credit Risk Management," and "Note 11, Concentrations of Credit Risk" in our Q2 2025 Form 10-Q, and "MD&A—Single-Family Business—Single-Family Mortgage Credit Risk Management," "MD&A—Multifamily Business—Multifamily Mortgage Credit Risk Management," "MD&A—Risk Management—Mortgage Credit Risk Management," and "Note 14, Concentrations of Credit Risk" in our 2024 Form 10-K.

Additional Mortgage Credit Risk Management Considerations

Past Due Loans - We consider loans that are 30 days or more past due, or in the foreclosure process, "past due."

Nonaccrual Loans - We recognize interest income on an accrual basis except when we believe the collection of principal and interest in full is not reasonably assured, at which time a loan is placed on nonaccrual status. A nonaccrual loan is returned to accrual status when the full collection of principal and interest is reasonably assured.

Allowance for Credit Losses - We maintain an allowance for loan losses for Held for Investment ("HFI") loans held by Fannie Mae and by consolidated MBS trusts, excluding loans for which we have elected the fair value option. The allowance for loan losses reflects an estimate of expected credit losses on single-family and multifamily HFI loans held by Fannie Mae and by consolidated MBS trusts. Estimates of credit losses are based on expected cash flows derived from internal models that estimate loan performance under simulated ranges of economic environments. Our modeled loan performance is based on our historical experience of loans with similar risk characteristics, adjusted to reflect current conditions and reasonable and supportable forecasts. Changes to our estimate of expected credit losses, including changes due to the passage of time, are recorded through the "Benefit (provision) for credit losses" in our consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

Charge-offs - We record write-offs as a reduction to the allowance for loan losses when amounts are deemed uncollectible. When losses are confirmed through the receipt of assets in satisfaction of a loan, such as the underlying collateral upon foreclosure or cash upon completion of a short sale, we record a write-off in an amount equal to the excess of a loan's amortized cost over fair value of assets received. For loans charged-off prior to foreclosure, the allowance may include an estimate of expected recoveries, in accordance with U.S. GAAP, which may result in a small or negative allowance for delinquent loans.

For information on changes to our allowance for loan losses and write-offs for the period, refer to “Note 5, Allowance for Loan Losses” in our Q2 2025 Form 10-Q. For additional information on our accounting policies and allowance methodology related to mortgage loans, see “Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies,” “Note 4, Mortgage Loans,” and “Note 5, Allowance for Loan Losses” in our 2024 Form 10-K.

Sources of Credit Risk and Related Exposure

We have credit risk exposure related to the following:

- **Mortgage Loan Credit Risk Exposures** – see “MD&A—Single-Family Business—Single-Family Mortgage Credit Risk Management,” “MD&A—Multifamily Business—Multifamily Mortgage Credit Risk Management,” “Note 4, Mortgage Loans,” and “Note 11, Concentrations of Credit Risk” in our Q2 2025 Form 10-Q.
- **Off-Balance Sheet Exposures** – see “MD&A—Liquidity and Capital Management—Liquidity Management—Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements,” “MD&A—Guaranty Book of Business” and “Note 7, Financial Guarantees” in our Q2 2025 Form 10-Q.
- **Debt Securities and OTC Derivatives** – see “MD&A—Liquidity and Capital Management,” “Note 6, Investments in Securities,” “Note 9, Derivative Instruments,” and “Note 12, Netting Arrangements” in our Q2 2025 Form 10-Q.
- **Counterparty Exposures** – see “MD&A—Risk Management—Institutional Counterparty Credit Risk Management” and “Note 11, Concentrations of Credit Risk” in our Q2 2025 Form 10-Q.

The following exhibit presents a summary of the delinquency status of our HFI mortgage loans (excluding those carried at fair value), along with the associated unpaid principal balance (“UPB”) and allowance as of June 30, 2025.

Exhibit 4.1: Delinquency Status of Single-Family and Multifamily Loans

| | As of June 30, 2025 | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| | Single-Family | | Multifamily | |
| | UPB Amount | Allowance for Credit Losses | UPB Amount | Allowance for Credit Losses |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Current | \$ 3,526,313 | \$ 5,139 | \$ 497,488 | \$ 2,300 |
| 30 to 89 days delinquent | 42,121 | 436 | 866 | 71 |
| 90+ days delinquent and on nonaccrual | 20,011 | 197 | 2,240 | 98 |
| 90+ days delinquent and still accruing | 240 | 5 | 32 | 1 |
| Total | \$ 3,588,685 | \$ 5,777 | \$ 500,626 | \$ 2,470 |

For the six months ended June 30, 2025, gross write-offs for credit losses were \$354 million for single-family and \$183 million for multifamily.

The following exhibit presents a geographic distribution of our past due HFI mortgage loans (excluding those carried at fair value) and the associated allowances for the respective geographic regions as of June 30, 2025.

Exhibit 4.2: Geographic Distribution of Past Due Loans

| Geographic Region: ⁽¹⁵⁾ | As of June 30, 2025 | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| | Single-Family | | Multifamily | |
| | UPB Amount of Past Due Loans | Allowances for Past Due Loans ⁽¹⁶⁾ | UPB Amount of Past Due Loans | Allowances for Past Due Loans ⁽¹⁶⁾ |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Midwest | \$ 8,149 | \$ 73 | \$ 1,010 | \$ 31 |
| Northeast | 10,448 | 3 | 719 | 4 |
| Southeast | 15,515 | 250 | 606 | 82 |
| Southwest | 13,190 | 191 | 614 | 49 |
| West | 15,070 | 121 | 189 | 4 |
| Total | \$ 62,372 | \$ 638 | \$ 3,138 | \$ 170 |

The following exhibit presents the regional geographic concentration of single-family and multifamily loans in our guaranty book of business, measured by the UPB of the loans as of June 30, 2025.

Exhibit 4.3: Geographic Concentration

| Geographic Region: | As of June 30, 2025 | |
|--------------------|--|--|
| | Percentage of Single-Family Conventional Guaranty Book of Business | Percentage of Multifamily Guaranty Book of Business |
| Midwest | 14 % | 12 % |
| Northeast | 15 | 15 |
| Southeast | 23 | 28 |
| Southwest | 20 | 22 |
| West | 28 | 23 |
| Total | 100 % | 100 % |

For a reconciliation of changes in the allowance for loan losses, see “Note 5, Allowance for Loan Losses” in our Q2 2025 Form 10-Q.

(15) Midwest consists of IL, IN, IA, MI, MN, NE, ND, OH, SD, and WI. Northeast consists of CT, DE, ME, MA, NH, NJ, NY, PA, PR, RI, VT, and VI. Southeast consists of AL, DC, FL, GA, KY, MD, MS, NC, SC, TN, VA, and WV. Southwest consists of AZ, AR, CO, KS, LA, MO, NM, OK, TX, and UT. West consists of AK, CA, GU, HI, ID, MT, NV, OR, WA, and WY.

(16) For loans charged-off prior to foreclosure, the allowance may include an estimate of expected recoveries, in accordance with U.S. GAAP, which may result in a small or negative allowance for delinquent loans.

The following exhibit presents the UPB of HFI and held-for-sale (“HFS”) mortgage loans by remaining contractual maturity as of June 30, 2025.

Exhibit 4.4: Remaining Contractual Maturity of Mortgage Loans⁽¹⁷⁾

| | As of June 30, 2025 | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|--------------|
| | Due in 1 Year or Less | Due after 1 Year through 5 Years | Due after 5 Years through 15 Years | Due after 15 Years | Total |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Single-family fixed rate | \$ 126,263 | \$ 528,968 | \$ 1,340,972 | \$ 1,567,954 | \$ 3,564,157 |
| Single-family adjustable-rate | 3,668 | 3,933 | 10,713 | 11,912 | 30,226 |
| Multifamily fixed rate | 18,028 | 204,446 | 246,637 | 5,253 | 474,364 |
| Multifamily adjustable-rate | 1,247 | 12,270 | 14,255 | 107 | 27,879 |
| Total unpaid principal balance of outstanding mortgage loans ⁽¹⁸⁾ | \$ 149,206 | \$ 749,617 | \$ 1,612,577 | \$ 1,585,226 | \$ 4,096,626 |

(17) We report the scheduled repayments in the maturity category in which the payment is due, such that a loan’s balance may be presented across multiple maturity categories.

(18) The unpaid principal balance of single family loans is based on the amount of contractual unpaid principal balance due and excludes any write-offs for amounts deemed uncollectible.

5. Counterparty Credit Risk

Institutional counterparty credit risk is the risk of loss resulting from the failure of an institutional counterparty to fulfill its contractual obligations to us. Our primary exposure to institutional counterparty credit risk exists with our:

- credit guarantors, including mortgage insurers, reinsurers, and multifamily lenders with risk-sharing arrangements;
- mortgage lenders that sell loans to us and mortgage lenders and other counterparties that service our loans; and
- financial institutions that issue investments included in our corporate liquidity portfolio.

We also have direct counterparty exposure to: derivatives counterparties; custodial depository institutions; mortgage originators, investors and dealers; debt security dealers; central counterparty clearing institutions; and document custodians.

We routinely enter into a high volume of transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry resulting in a significant credit concentration with respect to this industry. We also may have multiple exposures to particular counterparties, as many of our institutional counterparties perform several types of services for us. Accordingly, if one of these counterparties were to become insolvent or otherwise default on its obligations to us, it could harm our business and financial results in a variety of ways. Our overall objective in managing institutional counterparty credit risk is to maintain individual and portfolio-level counterparty exposures within acceptable ranges based on our risk-based rating system. We seek to achieve this objective through the following:

- establishment and observance of counterparty eligibility standards appropriate to each exposure type and level;
- establishment of risk limits;
- requiring collateralization of exposures where appropriate; and
- exposure monitoring and management.

Counterparty Credit Limits – Fannie Mae also has established processes for the management, identification, and valuation of collateral received from or posted by counterparties. Principal types of collateral taken include cash, U.S. Treasury securities, agency debt and agency mortgage-backed securities. For a discussion of how we establish risk limits for counterparty credit exposures, refer to “MD&A—Risk Management—Institutional Counterparty Credit Risk Management” in our 2024 Form 10-K.

The following exhibit presents the gross positive fair values and collateral values of derivative contracts and securities financing transactions as of June 30, 2025.

Exhibit 5.1: Derivative Contracts and Securities Financing Transactions

| Activity Type: | As of June 30, 2025 | |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Gross Positive Fair Value | Collateral Value ⁽¹⁹⁾ |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Risk management derivatives | \$ 42 | \$ 208 |
| Swaps | 144 | — |
| Swaptions | 301 | — |
| Netting adjustment | (403) | — |
| Mortgage commitment derivatives | 141 | — |
| Credit enhancement derivatives ⁽²⁰⁾ | 22 | — |
| Securities financing transactions ⁽²¹⁾ | 23,753 | 23,753 |
| Total | \$ 23,958 | \$ 23,961 |

Fannie Mae's collateral requirements vary based on the provisions present within individual agreements. Under many of our International Swaps and Derivatives Association ("ISDA") agreements, in the event of a counterparty credit downgrade, the posted collateral is subject to an additional collateral haircut. Additionally, if the counterparty credit rating is downgraded to a certain level, the party that has not been downgraded maintains the ability to terminate all trades.

We account for certain forms of credit risk transfer transactions as derivatives. For more information on our derivative transactions, refer to "Note 9, Derivative Instruments" in our Q2 2025 Form 10-Q. For more information on netting arrangements, refer to "Note 12, Netting Arrangements" in our Q2 2025 Form 10-Q.

(19) Excludes collateral received that has not been recognized and not offset in our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

(20) Represents fair value of derivatives associated with risk sharing programs.

(21) Represents reverse repurchase agreements, excluding reverse repurchase agreements classified as cash equivalents.

6. Credit Risk Mitigation

We enter into various transactions where we pledge and accept collateral, the most common of which are our derivative and Credit Insurance Risk TransferTM (“CIRTTM” and “MCIRTTM”) transactions. Required collateral levels vary depending on the credit rating and type of counterparty. We also pledge and receive collateral under our repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements. In order to reduce potential exposure to counterparties for securities purchased under agreements to resell, a third-party custodian typically maintains the collateral and any margin. We monitor the fair value of the collateral received from our counterparties, and we may require additional collateral from those counterparties, as we deem appropriate. For a discussion of our credit risk mitigation practices regarding collateral valuation and the types of collateral engaged, see “Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies—Collateral” in our 2024 Form 10-K.

We rely on our institutional counterparties to provide services and credit enhancements that are critical to our business. For more information on the guarantors and other providers of credit risk mitigation that we engage, see “MD&A—Risk Management—Institutional Counterparty Credit Risk Management,” “MD&A—Single-Family Business—Single-Family Mortgage Credit Risk Management,” “MD&A—Multifamily Business—Multifamily Mortgage Credit Risk Management,” and “Note 14, Concentrations of Credit Risk” in our 2024 Form 10-K.

Our charter generally requires credit enhancement on single-family conventional mortgage loans we purchase or securitize with a loan-to-value ratio over 80% at the time of acquisition. We generally achieve this through primary mortgage insurance. Primary mortgage insurance transfers varying portions of the credit risk associated with a mortgage loan to a third-party insurer. For us to receive a payment in settlement of a claim under a primary mortgage insurance policy, the insured loan must be in default and the borrower’s interest in the property securing the loan must have been extinguished, generally in a foreclosure action, short sale or a deed-in-lieu of foreclosure. Eligibility standards for mortgage insurers are established under private mortgage insurer eligibility requirements and we regularly monitor our exposure to individual mortgage insurers. The financial ability and willingness of our approved mortgage insurers to pay claims is an important determinant of our overall credit risk exposure. For additional information on mortgage insurers, see “MD&A—Risk Management—Institutional Counterparty Credit Risk Management—Mortgage Insurers” in our 2024 Form 10-K.

CRT transactions provide another form of credit risk mitigation. We manage the concentration, market, and counterparty risks associated with CRT transactions through counterparty risk requirements and collateral requirements. For additional information on counterparty risk and collateral refer to “Section 5, Counterparty Credit Risk.”

Collateral levels for repurchase agreement transactions and certain CRT transactions, where applicable, are consistent with the ERCF requirements and are detailed in the exhibit below as of June 30, 2025.

Exhibit 6.1: Eligible Financial Collateral Coverage⁽²²⁾

| | As of June 30, 2025 | |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Enterprise Exposure | Associated Enterprise RWA |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Single-family Credit Risk Insurance Transfer | \$ 458,210 | \$ 111,157 |
| Multifamily Credit Risk Insurance Transfer | 109,410 | 23,007 |
| Reverse repurchase style transactions | 25,052 | 145 |
| Total | \$ 592,672 | \$ 134,309 |
| | | |
| Total eligible financial coverage collateral (pre-haircut) | \$ 30,458 | |
| Total eligible financial coverage collateral (post-haircut) | \$ 28,569 | |

With the exception of mortgage insurance, CRT exposures, the guarantees we receive from affiliates of certain derivative counterparties, and multifamily lender front-end risk-sharing arrangements, Fannie Mae does not have any other exposures whose credit risk is mitigated by eligible guarantees or credit derivatives obtained from external third parties.

(22) Exposures based on definitions under ERCF. Includes collateral from reverse repurchase transactions and certain CRT transactions.

7. Credit Risk Transfers and Securitization

One of the key components of our credit risk management strategy is the transfer of mortgage credit risk to third parties. Credit risk transfer transactions, including Connecticut Avenue Securities® (“CAS”) and Multifamily Connecticut Avenue Securities® (“MCASTM”) issuances, generally transfer a portion of credit losses on a reference pool of mortgage loans to investors. We also use Credit Insurance Risk TransferTM (“CIRTTM” and “MCIRTTM”) deals to transfer a portion of the credit risk on a pool of loans to an insurance provider that retains the risk, or to an insurance provider that simultaneously cedes all of its risk to one or more reinsurers.

For more information on our credit risk management strategy regarding CRTs, see “MD&A—Single-Family Business—Single-Family Mortgage Credit Risk Management—Single-Family Credit Enhancement and Transfer of Mortgage Credit Risk” and “MD&A—Multifamily Business—Multifamily Mortgage Credit Risk Management—Multifamily Transfer of Mortgage Credit Risk” in our Q2 2025 Form 10-Q and 2024 Form 10-K.

Our issuance of CRT securities does not create any new mortgage credit exposure for us. CRT transactions reduce our mortgage credit risk by transferring a portion of our single-family and multifamily mortgage credit risk on reference pools of mortgage loans to the private market; they are generally designed to transfer a portion of the losses we expect would be incurred in an economic downturn or a stressed credit environment. CIRT and MCIRT transactions do give rise to incremental counterparty credit risk, which reduces the capital relief provided by the transactions, because we are subject to the risk that the CIRT and MCIRT counterparties (insurers and reinsurers) may not meet their payment obligations to us following a credit loss event. CAS and MCAS transactions, however, do not present a similar risk as the CAS and MCAS trusts receive the proceeds upon issuance that will reimburse us for defined credit events on the related loans. All CRT transactions have model, pricing, and structuring risks given their inherent complexity.

The metrics we use to measure the credit risk, interest-rate and spread securitization exposures of CRT transactions are generated using internal models. Our internal models, consistent with standard practice for models used in our industry, require numerous assumptions. There are inherent limitations in any methodology used to estimate the exposure to credit risk and changes in interest rates for transactions where CRT is applied. The reliability of our loss and prepayment estimates and interest-rate risk metrics depends on the availability and quality of historical data for each of the types of securities in our net portfolio. When market conditions change rapidly and dramatically, the assumptions of our models may no longer accurately capture or reflect the changing conditions. On a regular basis, management makes judgments about the appropriateness of the risk assessments indicated by the models. For more information on our models, see “MD&A—Risk Management—Model Risk Management” and “Risk Factors—Operational and Model Risk” in our 2024 Form 10-K.

For a discussion of how we mitigate mortgage credit risk retained through securitization exposures, see “MD&A—Single-Family Business—Single-Family Mortgage Credit Risk Management” and “MD&A—Multifamily Business—Multifamily Mortgage Credit Risk Management” in our 2024 Form 10-K.

Fannie Mae had no affiliated entities in CRT securitization transactions as of June 30, 2025.

CRT Accounting Treatment – We perform regular evaluations and assessments of our CRT objectives, risks, processes, and policies. We transfer mortgage credit risk to investors through both CAS special purpose vehicles (“SPVs”) and MCAS SPVs. CAS and MCAS SPVs are separate legal entities that issue notes that are fully collateralized by cash deposited into a collateral account held by the respective CAS or MCAS SPV and is invested in short-term highly rated investments. To the extent that collateral held by the CAS or MCAS SPV and the earnings thereon are insufficient relative to the payments due to holders of the CAS or MCAS notes, we may be required to make payments to the CAS or MCAS SPVs. The CAS and MCAS SPV qualify as VIEs. We do not have the power to direct significant activities of the CAS or MCAS SPVs while the CAS and MCAS SPVs are outstanding, and, therefore, we do not consolidate CAS or MCAS SPVs. For information regarding the methods and key assumptions applied in valuing retained or purchased interests, treatment of synthetic securitizations, and recognizing liabilities on the balance sheet for arrangements that could require the company to provide financial support for securitized assets, see “Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies—Investments in Securities”, “Note 3, Consolidations and Transfers of Financial Assets—Types of VIEs—Special Purpose Vehicles Associated with Our Credit Risk Transfer Programs” and “Note 7, Financial Guarantees,” in our 2024 Form 10-K.

For information on our CRT transactions and their characteristics, see “MD&A—Single-Family Business—Single-Family Mortgage Credit Risk Management—Single-Family Credit Enhancement and Transfer of Mortgage Credit Risk” and “MD&A—Multifamily Business—Multifamily Mortgage Credit Risk Management—Multifamily Transfer of Mortgage Credit Risk” in our Q2 2025 Form 10-Q and 2024 Form 10-K.

Our CRTs are off-balance sheet arrangements. As the reference pools of loans remain on our balance sheet, there is no sale associated with the issuance of the accompanying securities (i.e., CAS) or reinsurance (i.e., CIRT). The assessment and valuation of a CRT transaction do not result in the recognition of retained or purchased interest nor any gain-on-sale. Furthermore, there are no retained or purchased CRT resecuritization exposures on our balance sheet. We do not have any credit-enhancing interest-only strip CRT-related arrangements assigned 1,250% risk weights. Additionally, our process for valuing exposures intended to be securitized through CRT transactions is the same as our process for valuing other exposures.

Private-label securitization exposures are assigned 1,250% risk weights for calculating RWA. For CRT exposures, we utilize the CRTA for calculating RWA.

The following exhibit sets forth the exposure amounts, past due amounts and losses recognized on loans included in private-label securities and reference pools for CRT transactions as of June 30, 2025. We do not have any traditional single-family or multifamily securitization exposures as defined under the ERCF.

Exhibit 7.1: CRT and Securitizations by Exposure Types

| As of June 30, 2025 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|---|----------|-----------|----------|----|---------------------------------|--------|-----------------|-----|
| | Total Exposure | | On-Balance Sheet Exposure | | Off-Balance Sheet Exposure | | Retained | | Acquired | | Past Due Amount ⁽²³⁾ | | Loss Recognized | |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Traditional: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single-family securitization | \$ | — | \$ | — | \$ | — | \$ | — | \$ | — | \$ | — | \$ | — |
| Multifamily securitization | | — | | — | | — | | — | | — | | — | | — |
| Private-label securities | | 376 | | 376 | | — | | 302 | | 74 | | 51 | | 26 |
| Synthetic / Reinsurance CRT: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Single-family CRT ⁽²⁴⁾ | | 1,261,679 | | 1,261,679 | | — | | 1,261,679 | | — | | 11,219 | | 283 |
| Multifamily CRT | | 115,975 | | 115,975 | | — | | 115,975 | | — | | 684 | | 19 |
| Total CRT and securitization exposure | \$ | 1,378,030 | \$ | 1,378,030 | \$ | — | \$ | 1,377,956 | \$ | 74 | \$ | 11,954 | \$ | 328 |

(23) Past due amounts presented in this exhibit are 60 days or more past due.

(24) The past due and loss recognized amounts for Single-family CRT include CRT with and without capital relief.

The following exhibit provides information on the RWA related to CRT and securitization exposures as of June 30, 2025.

Exhibit 7.2: CRT and Securitizations by Capital Treatment

| | As of June 30, 2025 | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|--------------------------------|------------|----------------------|
| | Total Exposure | RWA | RWA by Calculation Methodology | | |
| | | | SSFA ⁽²⁵⁾ | CRTA | 1,250% Risk Weighted |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Traditional: | | | | | |
| Single-family securitization | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| Multifamily securitization | — | — | — | — | — |
| Private-label securities | 376 | 4,700 | — | — | 4,700 |
| Synthetic / Reinsurance CRT: | | | | | |
| Single-family CRT | 1,261,679 | 287,603 | — | 287,603 | — |
| Multifamily CRT | 115,975 | 17,750 | — | 17,750 | — |
| Total CRT and securitization exposure | \$ 1,378,030 | \$ 310,053 | \$ — | \$ 305,353 | \$ 4,700 |

The following exhibit provides information on our securitization and reinsurance CRT exposures by risk-weight bands and capital impact of RWA as of June 30, 2025.

Exhibit 7.3: Securitization and Resecuritization CRT Exposures Risk and Risk-Weight Bands

| | As of June 30, 2025 | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | Total Exposure | SSFA Risk-Weighted Assets ⁽²⁶⁾ | CRTA Risk-Weighted Assets | Capital Impact of RWA ⁽²⁷⁾ |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Securitization / Reinsurance CRT: | | | | |
| Zero to 20% | \$ 781,842 | \$ — | \$ 101,677 | \$ 4,575 |
| 21% to 50% | 518,264 | — | 160,116 | 7,205 |
| 51% to 100% | 77,548 | — | 43,560 | 1,960 |
| Over 100% | 342 | 4,275 | — | 192 |
| Resecuritization: | | | | |
| Zero to 20% | — | — | — | — |
| 21% to 50% | — | — | — | — |
| 51% to 100% | — | — | — | — |
| Over 100% | 34 | 425 | — | 19 |
| Total CRT and securitization/resecuritization | \$ 1,378,030 | \$ 4,700 | \$ 305,353 | \$ 13,951 |

(25) Refers to the Simplified Supervisory Formula Approach ("SSFA").

(26) Includes exposures risk-weighted at 1,250%.

(27) Required CET1 capital amount associated with the exposure.

The following exhibit provides information as of June 30, 2025 on our exposures intended to be securitized in the subsequent fiscal quarter, exposures securitized year-to-date, and the associated year-to-date gain/loss on sale.

Exhibit 7.4: CRT and Securitization Pipeline & Activity

| | | As of June 30, 2025 | | |
|--|---|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | Carrying Value of Assets Pending Securitization ⁽²⁸⁾ | | Assets Securitized YTD | Recognized Gain/ Loss on Sale YTD |
| (Dollars in millions) | | | | |
| Traditional: | | | | |
| Single-family securitization | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | — |
| Multifamily securitization | — | | — | — |
| Private-label securities | — | | — | — |
| Other | — | | — | — |
| Synthetic / Reinsurance CRT: | | | | |
| Single-family CRT | 40,670 | | 139,541 | — |
| Multifamily CRT | — | | 25,120 | — |
| Total CRT and securitization exposure | \$ 40,670 | \$ | 164,661 | \$ — |

⁽²⁸⁾ Represents our estimate, as of June 30, 2025, of the UPB of loans we expect will be included in reference pools for single-family and multifamily CRT transactions in the third quarter of 2025. Actual amounts may change, perhaps materially, depending on a number of factors, including our risk appetite, future market conditions, the cost of the transactions, FHFA guidance or requirements (including FHFA's scorecard), the capital relief provided by the transactions, and our overall business and capital plans.

8. Equities

We account for securities we have acquired as either trading or available-for-sale (“AFS”). Both trading and AFS securities are measured at fair value in our consolidated balance sheets and the related purchase discounts or premiums are amortized into interest income on a level-yield basis over the contractual term of the security.

We record AFS securities at fair value with unrealized gains and losses, recorded net of tax, as a component of “Other comprehensive income (loss)” and we recognize realized gains and losses from the sale of AFS securities in “Investment gains (losses), net” in our consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. We define the amortized cost basis of our AFS securities as unpaid principal balance, net of unamortized premiums and discounts, and other cost basis adjustments. For a breakout of the total unrealized gains and losses recognized in our condensed consolidated balance sheets but not through earnings, see “Note 6, Investments in Securities” in our Q2 2025 Form 10-Q.

We adhere to U.S. GAAP to guide our determination of each pricing approach and primarily use independent pricing sources. Vendor pricing is generally our preferred method for pricing assets and liabilities, which enables us to leverage and validate pricing information from multiple independent sources. To corroborate results, we subject vendor prices to testing by pricing teams. When vendor pricing is not available or appropriate, we use either an internal method based on market observable data or pricing models. Models used for financial reporting purposes must be approved by our independent model risk management team within our Corporate Risk & Compliance Division prior to use. Additionally, our internal pricing teams conduct regular model assessments to determine whether models are still reasonable and appropriate for their intended business purposes.

For information regarding the types and nature of our equity investments, along with the carrying and fair value of the investments, refer to “Note 6, Investments in Securities,” and “Note 13, Fair Value—Fair Value Measurement” in our Q2 2025 Form 10-Q.

The following exhibit provides information on unrealized gains and losses for publicly-traded and non-publicly traded equity investments as of June 30, 2025.

Exhibit 8.1: Equity Investments

| | As of June 30, 2025 | | |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------|
| | Public | Non-Public ⁽²⁹⁾ | Total |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | |
| Carrying value | \$ 2 | \$ 4,349 | \$ 4,351 |
| Unrealized gains/losses | — | — | — |
| Unrealized gains/losses not recognized on the balance sheet or through earnings | — | — | — |
| Fair value | 2 | 4,349 | 4,351 |
| Unrealized gains/losses included in risk-based capital | — | — | — |
| YTD Cumulative realized gains/losses from sales and liquidation | — | 1 | 1 |

(29) Includes low-income housing tax credits, community investments and other partnership investments; for these investments, carrying value approximates fair value.

The following exhibit provides information on the capital treatment of equity investments as of June 30, 2025.

Exhibit 8.2: Capital Treatment of Equity Investments

| | As of June 30, 2025 | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------|---------------------------------------|
| | Exposure | RWA | Capital Impact of RWA ⁽³⁰⁾ |
| | (Dollars in millions) | | |
| Risk Weight: | | | |
| 0% | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — |
| 20% | — | — | — |
| 100% | 4,345 | 4,345 | 196 |
| 300% | — | — | — |
| 400% | 6 | 24 | 1 |
| 600% | — | — | — |
| Total equity investments | \$ 4,351 | \$ 4,369 | \$ 197 |

(30) Required CET1 capital amount associated with the exposure.

9. Interest Rate Risk for Non-Trading Activities

We are subject to interest-rate risk, which is the risk that movements in interest rates will adversely affect the value of our assets or liabilities or our future earnings or capital. Our exposure to interest-rate risk primarily arises from two sources: (1) our “net portfolio,” which we define as: our retained mortgage portfolio assets, our corporate liquidity portfolio, outstanding debt of Fannie Mae used to fund the retained mortgage portfolio assets and our corporate liquidity portfolio, mortgage commitments and risk management derivatives; and (2) our consolidated MBS trusts.

For more information on the nature of interest rate risk for non-trading activities, and the key assumptions used, see “MD&A—Risk Management—Market Risk Management, including Interest-Rate Risk Management” and “MD&A—Key Market Economic Indicators” in our 2024 Form 10-K.

For information on Fannie Mae’s market value sensitivity based on interest-rate shocks, see “MD&A—Risk Management—Market Risk Management, including Interest-Rate Risk Management—Measurement of Interest-Rate Risk” in our Q2 2025 Form 10-Q.

10. Operational Risk

Operational risk is the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people, systems and third parties, or disruptions from external events. Refer to “Risk Factors—Operational and Model Risk,” “MD&A—Risk Management—Operational Risk Management,” and “Cybersecurity” in our 2024 Form 10-K for information on the operational risks we face, how we identify, monitor, and manage these risks (including cybersecurity risks), and how insurance is used to mitigate operational risk.

As previously discussed, Fannie Mae calculates its RWA using the standardized approach, whereby operational risk RWA is calculated by multiplying adjusted total assets by 15 basis points, and then multiplying by 12.5.

11. Tier 1 Leverage Ratio

Under the ERCF, the tier 1 leverage ratio is calculated as available tier 1 capital divided by adjusted total assets. Fannie Mae is required to maintain tier 1 capital in excess of the amount required under its tier 1 leverage ratio requirement by at least the amount of its PLBA. The following exhibit provides a view of our adjusted total assets and tier 1 leverage as of June 30, 2025.

Exhibit 11.1: Tier 1 Leverage Ratio

| | As of June 30, 2025 |
|---|------------------------------|
| | (Dollars in millions) |
| Part 1: Summary comparison of accounting assets and adjusted total assets | |
| 1 Total consolidated assets as reported in published financial statements | \$ 4,338,227 |
| 2 Adjustment for fiduciary assets recognized on balance sheet but excluded from total leverage exposure | — |
| 3 Adjustment for derivative exposures | 610 |
| 4 Adjustment for repo-style transactions | 1,300 |
| 5 Adjustment for off-balance sheet exposures (that is, conversion to credit equivalent amounts of off-balance sheet exposures) | 107,182 |
| 6 Other adjustments | (1,670) |
| 7 Adjusted total assets (sum of lines 1 to 6) | \$ 4,445,649 |
| Part 2: Tier 1 leverage ratio | |
| On-balance sheet exposures | |
| 1 On-balance sheet assets (excluding on-balance sheet assets for repo-style transactions and derivative exposures, but including cash collateral received in derivative transactions and including allowance for credit losses) | \$ 4,314,746 |
| 2 LESS: Amounts deducted from tier 1 capital | 10,127 |
| 3 Total on-balance sheet exposures (excluding on-balance sheet assets for repo-style transactions and derivative exposures, but including cash collateral received in derivative transactions) (sum of lines 1 and 2) | \$ 4,304,619 |
| Derivative exposures | |
| 4 Current exposure for derivative exposures (that is, net of cash variation margin) | \$ (1,102) |
| 5 Add-on amounts for potential future exposure (PFE) for derivative exposures | 610 |
| 6 Gross-up for cash collateral posted if deducted from the on-balance sheet assets, except for cash variation margin | 1,285 |
| 7 LESS: Deductions of receivable assets for cash variation margin posted in derivative transactions, if included in on-balance sheet assets | — |
| 8 LESS: Exempted CCP leg of client-cleared transactions | — |
| 9 Effective notional principal amount of sold credit protection | 22 |
| 10 LESS: Effective notional principal amount offsets and PFE adjustments for sold credit protection | — |
| 11 Default Fund Contribution | 7,980 |
| 12 Total derivative exposures (sum of lines 4 to 11) | \$ 8,795 |
| Repo-style transactions | |
| 13 On-balance sheet assets for repo-style transactions, except include the gross value of receivables for reverse repurchase transactions. Exclude from this item the value of securities received in a security-for-security repo-style transaction where the securities lender has not sold or re-hypothecated the securities received. Include in this item the value of securities that qualified for sales treatment that must be reversed | \$ 23,753 |
| 14 LESS: Reduction of the gross value of receivables in reverse repurchase transactions by cash payables in repurchase transactions under netting agreements | — |
| 15 Counterparty credit risk for all repo-style transactions | 1,300 |
| 16 Exposure for repo-style transactions where a banking organization acts as an agent | — |
| 17 Total exposures for repo-style transactions (sum of lines 13 to 16) | \$ 25,053 |
| Other off-balance sheet exposures | |
| 18 Off-balance sheet exposures at gross notional amounts | \$ 203,342 |
| 19 LESS: Adjustments for conversion to credit equivalent amounts and off-balance sheet exposures held in retained portfolio | 96,160 |
| 20 Off-balance sheet exposures (sum of lines 18 and 19) | \$ 107,182 |
| Capital and adjusted total assets | |
| 21 Tier 1 capital (deficit) | (29,327) |
| 22 Adjusted total assets (sum of lines 3, 12, 17, and 20) | \$ 4,445,649 |
| Tier 1 leverage ratio | |
| 23 Tier 1 leverage ratio (in percent) | (0.7)% |

12. Market Risk

We are subject to market risk, which includes interest-rate risk and spread risk. These risks arise primarily from our mortgage asset investments. Interest-rate risk is the risk that movements in interest rates will adversely affect the value of our assets or liabilities or our future earnings or capital. Spread risk is the risk from changes in an instrument's value that relate to factors other than changes in interest rates. We can experience losses from changes in the spreads between our mortgage assets and the debt and derivatives we use to hedge our position. Our internal management practices include procedures to identify, assess, respond to, and monitor our market risk. The process for monitoring and managing market risk includes stressing factors such as interest rates and spreads in order to evaluate the impact on fair value and earnings.

We monitor current market conditions, including the interest-rate environment, to assess the impact of these conditions on individual positions and our interest-rate risk profile. In addition to qualitative factors, we use various quantitative risk metrics in determining the appropriate composition of our retained mortgage portfolio, our investments in non-mortgage securities and relative mix of debt and derivatives positions in order to remain within pre-defined risk tolerance levels that we consider acceptable. We regularly disclose two interest-rate risk metrics that estimate our interest-rate exposure: (1) fair value sensitivity to changes in interest-rate levels and the slope of the yield curve and (2) duration gap.

Under the ERCF, market risk reflects spread risk only. We calculate market risk RWA for the retained mortgage portfolio under the standardized approach in the ERCF. In accordance with ERCF requirements, we use one of the three standardized approaches for spread risk (Single-Point, Spread Duration, or Internal Estimates) depending principally on instrument type. For more information on our instrument types refer to the following exhibit.

The following exhibit provides the covered position exposure amounts and RWA for each of the three types of ERCF standardized approaches as of June 30, 2025. The population of covered positions includes those with spread risk exposure regardless of intent or accounting treatment.

Exhibit 12.1: Covered Position Exposure Amounts and RWA

| | As of June 30, 2025 | |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| | Exposure Amount | Standardized Market Risk RWA |
| | (Dollars in millions) | |
| Single Point Approach: | | |
| Mortgage exposures that are not secured by an MBS guaranteed by the Enterprise | | |
| Non-performing loans | \$ 10,344 | \$ 6,142 |
| Re-performing loans | 21,574 | 12,809 |
| Reverse mortgage loans | 1,988 | 398 |
| Reverse mortgage securities | 933 | 478 |
| Spread Duration Approach: | | |
| Multifamily mortgage exposures | 3,076 | 150 |
| Private-label securities | 376 | 679 |
| MBS (non-interest only) guaranteed by an Enterprise or by Ginnie Mae | 2,340 | 1,481 |
| Internal Estimates: | | |
| Covered Positions that are not subject to the Single Point or Spread Duration Approaches | | |
| Single-family MBS guaranteed by the Enterprise | (8,971) | (3,057) |
| Single-family MBS guaranteed by Ginnie Mae | 101 | 55 |
| Single-family MBS guaranteed by Freddie Mac | 204 | 88 |
| Multifamily interest-only securities guaranteed by an Enterprise or Ginnie Mae | 265 | 184 |
| Commercial MBS | — | — |
| CRT exposures | — | — |
| Other securitization exposures | 98 | 45 |
| Performing loans, not securitized | 14,944 | 8,136 |
| Other trading assets and liabilities | 626 | 2,473 |
| Total | \$ 47,898 | \$ 30,061 |

On- and Off-Balance Sheet Exposure Types – For additional information on our aggregate amount of on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet securitization positions by exposure type and composition of material covered positions, refer to “Note 3, Consolidations and Transfers of Financial Assets—Unconsolidated VIEs” and “Note 7, Financial Guarantees” in our Q2 2025 Form 10-Q.

Our valuation framework incorporates key elements for governance, methodology, valuation adjustments, and model validation.

Valuation governance provides: (1) an overview of governance bodies and committees; (2) an outline of the structure emphasizing independence of the valuation review process; (3) detail on governance processes and financial instruments covered; and (4) the end-to-end valuation process, including the control framework and roles and responsibilities for first and second lines of defense in the valuation processes. For more information on our valuation techniques, refer to “Note 16, Fair Value—Fair Value Measurement” in our 2024 Form 10-K.

The internal model approach is intended to capture at a granular level the risk associated with changes in the discounting spread used to value the future cash flows of the assets in our retained mortgage portfolio. The future cash flows are projected by models that reflect the expected prepayment behavior associated with the different characteristics of the assets (amortization terms, vintages, mortgage rates, etc.) in our current economic outlook. However, internal models do not incorporate basis risk across positions. Basis risk is the risk that the value of a futures contract or an over-the-counter hedge will not perfectly offset an underlying position.

Consistent with our model risk management framework, regulatory expectations and industry practice, an independent second line model risk function subjects our material market risk models to rigorous validation testing for conceptual soundness and fitness for use.

The calculation of market risk capital for our retained mortgage portfolio quantifies the amount of market value losses that its assets could experience under spread widening consistent with the size of the largest spread movements observed since the recession of 2008, assuming that other risk factors such as benchmark interest rates, mortgage rates, and home prices remain the same as in the current economic outlook.

Per our model risk management framework and policies, relevant market risk models using internal-derived models are subject to model performance management to ensure conformance between model estimates and actual portfolio value changes.

The metrics used to measure our spread risk exposure are generated using internal models. Our internal models, consistent with standard practice for models used in our industry, require numerous assumptions. There are inherent limitations in any methodology used to estimate the exposure to changes in spreads. The reliability of our prepayment estimates and spread risk metrics depends on the availability and quality of historical data for each of the types of securities in our retained mortgage portfolio. When market conditions change rapidly and dramatically, the assumptions of our models may no longer accurately capture or reflect the changing conditions. On a regular basis, management makes judgments about the appropriateness of the risk assessments indicated by the models and will make adjustments as necessary to properly assess our market risk exposure and manage our spread risk. For more information on our models, see “MD&A—Risk Management—Model Risk Management” and “Risk Factors—Operational and Model Risk” in our 2024 Form 10-K.

The metrics used to measure spread exposure of re-securitization positions are generated using internal models in combination with external models that project how the securitization cash flows are allocated to the re-securitization positions.

Glossary

This section defines terms included in this report.

CAS – Connecticut Avenue Securities® - A type of security that allows Fannie Mae to transfer a portion of the credit risk from loan reference pools, consisting of certain mortgage loans in our guaranty book of business, to third-party investors.

CIRT™ – Credit Insurance Risk Transfer™ - Insurance transactions whereby we obtain actual loss coverage on pools of loans either directly from an insurance provider that retains the risk, or from an insurance provider that simultaneously cedes all of its risk to one or more reinsurers.

CRTA – Credit Risk Transfer Approach - A calculation methodology, defined in the ERCF, used to determine the risk-weight for a retained CRT exposure.

FHFA – The Federal Housing Finance Agency - FHFA is an independent agency of the federal government with general supervisory and regulatory authority over Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and the Federal Home Loan Banks. FHFA also has been acting as our conservator since September 2008. For more information on FHFA's authority as our conservator and as our regulator, see "Business—Conservatorship and Treasury Agreements" and "Business—Legislation and Regulation" in our 2024 Form 10-K.

GSE – refers to the government-sponsored enterprises Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac.

MCAS™ – Multifamily Connecticut Avenue Securities® - Connecticut Avenue Securities that are structured as notes issued by trusts to transfer a portion of the credit risk on our multifamily guaranty book of business to third-party investors.

MCIRT™ – Multifamily Credit Insurance Risk Transfer™ - Insurance transactions that transfer a portion of the credit risk associated with a reference pool of multifamily mortgage loans to insurers, reinsurers, or investors.

Multifamily loan – A mortgage loan secured by a property containing five or more residential dwelling units.

Net portfolio – Our retained mortgage portfolio assets, our corporate liquidity portfolio, outstanding debt of Fannie Mae used to fund the retained mortgage portfolio assets and corporate liquidity portfolio, mortgage commitments and risk management derivatives.

Private-label securities – Mortgage-related securities issued by entities other than agency issuers Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac or Ginnie Mae.

Retained mortgage portfolio – Mortgage-related assets we own (excluding the portion of assets that back mortgage-related securities owned by third parties).

Risk-weighted assets – Is a measure of an entity's assets adjusted for credit, market, and operational risk as specified in ERCF.

Senior preferred stock – Shares of Variable Liquidation Preference Senior Preferred Stock, Series 2008-2, issued to the U.S. Treasury under the senior preferred stock purchase agreement.

Single-family loan – A mortgage loan secured by a property containing four or fewer residential dwelling units.

SSFA – Simplified Supervisory Formula Approach - a calculation methodology, defined in the ERCF, used to determine the risk-weight for a securitization exposure.

Synthetic securitization – A synthetic securitization means a transaction in which: (1) all or a portion of the credit risk of one or more underlying exposures is retained or transferred to one or more third parties through the use of one or more credit derivatives or guarantees; (2) the credit risk associated with the underlying exposures has been separated into at least two tranches reflecting different levels of seniority; (3) performance of the securitization exposures depends upon the performance of the underlying exposures; and (4) all or substantially all of the underlying exposures are financial exposures.

Variable Interest Entity (VIE) – A VIE is an entity that has a total equity investment at risk that is not sufficient to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support provided by another party, or where the group of equity holders does not have: (1) the ability to make significant decisions about the entity's activities; (2) the obligation to absorb the entity's expected losses; or (3) the right to receive the entity's expected residual returns.

Write-off – Loan amounts written off as uncollectible bad debts. These loan amounts are removed from our consolidated balance sheet and charged against our loss reserves when the balance is deemed uncollectible, which is generally at foreclosure or other liquidation events (such as a deed-in-lieu of foreclosure or a short-sale). Also includes write-offs related to the redesignation of loans from held for investment to held for sale.